

---

**From:** Andrew Straw  
**Sent:** Friday, June 16, 2023 6:38 PM  
**To:** RulesCommittee Secretary  
**Cc:** Andrew Straw  
**Subject:** Further Support for My Rule 11 Change Requests

RE: Commentary & Further Support for My Change Requests

[https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2023-03\\_civil\\_rules\\_committee\\_agenda\\_book\\_final\\_o.pdf](https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2023-03_civil_rules_committee_agenda_book_final_o.pdf)

Dear Rules Committee,

It appears the commenter on my comments suggesting rule changes got matters exactly backwards.

I was punished by my former employer for federal cases in which **no federal judge imposed any sanction.**

To say that a state can punish what I file in federal courts is wrong and misunderstands the relationships between state and federal courts.

The idea that a state court can interfere with a federal case and punish court litigants for using federal courts was answered in the negative going back to the Founding.

There is already **a precedent that agrees with me** that has been cited positively in **every circuit** as law.

*Crosley Corporation v. Hazeltine Corporation*, 122 F.2d 925, **929** (3d Cir. 1941):

**"Likewise the state courts are without power to interfere with proceedings in the federal courts. This was early settled by the Supreme Court upon principles of judicial comity. See Warren, Federal and State Court Interference, 43 Harvard Law Rev. 345."**

What happened to me was an abomination and contrary to long settled law.

- First, no sanction in 4 federal courtrooms.
- Then, 76 months of bogus suspension based on those same 4 cases and to retaliate against my own ADA complaints against that state supreme court former employer of mine.
- Federal courts that did not sanction me just followed the Indiana leader, with **no hearing at all**.

When a federal court does not sanction with even \$1 in fines or 1 day of suspension, a state court (defendant in one of the cases) cannot come along afterwards and impose an irascible 76 months of suspension to punish its disabled former employee who made ADA complaints about it.

Anywhere else in society but with regard to courts, the Indiana Supreme Court would be seen as a human rights violator and punished severely.

I just want the rules to mean what they mean. State courts cannot interfere and the federal rules should state this. **All of them should state it.**

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Andrew U. D. Straw". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Andrew U. D. Straw